

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/499,922	02/08/2000	Stephen Ledsham	00P7447US	00P7447US 1898	
75	590 03/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation			HSU, ALPUS		
Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 088	330		2665		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/499,922 LEDSHAM ET AL.					
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alpus H. Hsu	2665				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If the period for reply specified at If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 27 De	ecember 2004.					
2a) This action is FINA	L. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•					
4a) Of the above class 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are a 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10</u> 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9 and 20</u>	0-19 is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not red Replacement drawing		epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan on is required if the drawing(•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19 .						
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fr	made of a claim for foreign c) None of: ies of the priority documents ies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Apity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (P	TO-892)	4) Interview S	ımmary (PTO-413)				
	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) .nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/499,922

Art Unit: 2665

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 December 2004 has been entered.

Page 2

- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 1-5, 10-19 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Scholl et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,742,762 (newly cited) in view of Sayers et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,539,237 (of record). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholl et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,742,762 (newly cited) in view of Sayers et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,539,237 (of record).

Referring to claim 1, Scholl et al. discloses a system for providing management protocol mediation between networks, comprising: a first network (MANAGED NETWORK 1); a second network (MANAGED NETWORK 2), having an operations and maintenance center (OMC) (11 and 14) coupled thereto and operable to execute an application to manage the first network using a first management protocol, the OMC further operable to manage the second network using a

second management protocol; and a protocol mediator (15 and 16) coupled to the first and second networks, the protocol mediator operable to translate between the first management protocol and the second management protocol.

Scholl et al. differ from claim 1, in that it fails to disclose both networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface. But Scholl does disclose "the examples of the managed networks include SNMP-based network, CMIP-based network, -----, and cellular telephony system" (see column 6, lines 25-29) and "the access mode maybe WAN, LAN, dial-up, wireless, or others (column 7, lines 12-13).

The application of managed networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface is well known in the art. Sayers et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the managed networks being wireless networks (14 and 15 in Figure 1), providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station (4 in Figure 1) over a wireless interface (not shown).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt the wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Scholl et al. disclose the first and second management protocols comprises a SNMP and CMIP management protocols (see col. 6, lines 25-26, col. 7, lines 8-9).

Referring to claim 4, Scholl et al. discloses the protocol mediator coupled to the OMC by a first Telecommunication Network Management Interface (the connection between blocks 11 and 15 in Figure 1), and coupled to the first network by a second Telecommunication Network Management Interface (7 in Figure 3).

Referring to claim 5, Sayers et al. discloses that the first wireless network comprises: a base station operable to communicate with the mobile station over the wireless interface; and a wireless adjunct internet platform (WARP) (16 in Figure 1) coupled to the base station (12 in Figure 1) and operable to communicate with the mobile station (4 in Figure 1) through the base station, which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt this wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claim 10, Scholl et al. discloses a management center for providing management protocol mediation between networks, comprising: an operations and maintenance center (OMC) (11 and 14) operable to manage a first and second networks, the OMC further operable to manage the first network by executing an application using a first management protocol, and to manage the second network using a second management protocol; and a protocol mediator (15 and 16) coupled to the first and second networks, the protocol mediator operable to translate between the first management protocol and the second management protocol.

Scholl et al. differ from claim 10, in that it fails to disclose both networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface. But Scholl does disclose "the examples of the managed networks

Application/Control Number: 09/499,922

Art Unit: 2665

include SNMP-based network, CMIP-based network, -----, and cellular telephony system" (see column 6, lines 25-29) and "the access mode maybe WAN, LAN, dial-up, wireless, or others (column 7, lines 12-13).

The application of managed networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface is well known in the art. Sayers et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the managed networks being wireless networks (14 and 15 in Figure 1), providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station (4 in Figure 1) over a wireless interface (not shown).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt the wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claims 11 and 12, Scholl et al. disclose the first and second management protocols comprises a SNMP and CMIP management protocols (see col. 6, lines 25-26, col. 7, lines 8-9).

Referring to claim 13, Scholl et al. discloses the protocol mediator coupled to the OMC by a Common Telecommunication Network Management Interface (the connection between blocks 11 and 15 in Figure 1).

Referring to claims 14 and 15, Scholl et al. discloses a router (5 in Figure 1) coupled to the OMC and the protocol mediator, the router operable to transmit and receive management

message over an Internet Protocol (IP) network, providing the OMC communicating with the protocol mediator through the router (see column 6, lines 32-36).

Referring to claim 16, Scholl et al. discloses a method for providing management protocol mediation between networks comprising the steps of: executing at a first network an application in an operations and maintenance center (OMC) using a first management protocol; managing by the OMC, a second network using a second management protocol; and translating between the first management protocol associated with the first network, and the second management protocol associated with the second network.

Scholl et al. differ from claim 16, in that it fails to disclose both networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface. But Scholl does disclose "the examples of the managed networks include SNMP-based network, CMIP-based network, -----, and cellular telephony system" (see column 6, lines 25-29) and "the access mode maybe WAN, LAN, dial-up, wireless, or others (column 7, lines 12-13).

The application of managed networks being wireless networks, providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station over a wireless interface is well known in the art. Sayers et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the managed networks being wireless networks (14 and 15 in Figure 1), providing the first wireless network operable to communicate with a mobile station (4 in Figure 1) over a wireless interface (not shown).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adopt the wireless communication feature in Sayers et al. into the system of Scholl et al. to provide the

system with wireless communication capability to further improve the system speed and efficiency.

Referring to claims 17 and 18, Scholl et al. disclose the first and second management protocols comprises a SNMP and CMIP management protocols (see col. 6, lines 25-26, col. 7, lines 8-9).

Referring to claim 19, Scholl et al. discloses the step of translating between the management protocols comprises: mapping an instruction supported by the first protocol to an equivalent instruction supported by the second protocol; mapping a parameter supported by the first protocol to an equivalent parameter supported by the second protocol; and composing a message supported by the second protocol using the equivalent instruction and the equivalent parameter (see column 7, line 50 to column 8, line 5).

- 5. Claim 21 is allowed.
- Claims 6-9 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 6. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Scholl et al. '001, Spencer, Krishnamurthy et al. and Menon et al. are cited to show the common feature of distributed network management system utilizing SNMP and CMIP management protocols similar to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,922

Art Unit: 2665

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu

Primary Examiner

lpm N. 232

Page 8

Art Unit 2665